

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff)	
)	Case No. 16-CR-20810-04
v.)	Honorable George Caram Steeh
)	
TAKATA CORPORATION, Defendant.)	

**ORDER GRANTING SPECIAL MASTER’S
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF THIRD DISTRIBUTION
OF INDIVIDUAL RESTITUTION FUND (ECF NO. 123)**

Upon the request of Eric D. Green in his capacity as Special Master for approval of the third distribution of the Individual Restitution Fund¹ (“IRF”) (ECF No. 123), and following a video conference between the Court and Special Master on June 17, 2020 to review the Special Master’s submission, including the objections:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. The Court APPROVES the Special Master’s determinations and recommendations regarding the Claimants listed in Exhibit A. The Special Master shall distribute the amount of \$1,273,706.37 to the Claimants listed on Exhibit A in accordance with the allocations set forth on Exhibit A; provided,

¹ Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the *Special Master’s Request for Approval of First Distribution of Individual Restitution Fund* (the “Distribution Request”).

however, that as a condition for payment from the IRF to an eligible Claimant, that Claimant must execute and submit to the Special Master a release and, if the Claimant is represented by counsel, counsel for the Claimant must execute and submit to the Special Master a rider to the release as described in paragraph 4 below.

2. All objections submitted in connection with this Request are **OVERRULED**.

3. The Court **APPROVES** the Special Master's determination that the claims of the Claimants set forth in Exhibit B are ineligible for compensation from the IRF.

4. The Court **APPROVES** conditioning payment from the IRF to individuals represented by counsel on execution of a rider by counsel acknowledging and agreeing to abide by the restriction on attorney's fees set forth in the IRF Methodology Order (ECF No. 77-1, PageID 2154).

5. The Court **DIRECTS** that Distributions shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Revised IRF Methodology Order (ECF No. 77-1).

6. This Court retains jurisdiction over all matters covered by, or related to, this Order.

So ordered.

Dated: June 22, 2020

s/George Caram Steeh
GEORGE CARAM STEEH
United States District Judge

EXHIBIT A

	Claim No.	Points Awarded	Monetary Award¹
1	142	1,000	\$71,010.00
2	167	1,500	\$106,515.00
3	168	1,000	\$71,010.00
4	169	275	\$19,527.75
5	179	1,600	\$113,616.00
6	180	2,700	\$191,727.00
7	183	100	\$7,101.00
8	10000399	100	\$7,101.00
9	10000545	100	\$7,101.00
10	10000708	350	\$24,853.50
11	10000800	350	\$24,853.50
12	10000817	412	\$29,256.12
13	10000851	100	\$7,101.00
14	10000868	100	\$7,101.00
15	10000875	150	\$10,651.50
16	10000899	6,650	\$472,216.50
17	10000964	850	\$60,358.50
18	10000974	600	\$42,606.00
	Total	17,937	\$1,273,706.37

¹ Calculated at \$71.01 per point.

EXHIBIT B

	Claim No.	Ineligibility Reason
1	175	Non-Deployment
2	10000700	Non-Deployment
3	10000739	Non-Deployment
4	10000841	Non-Deployment
5	10000896	Non-Deployment
6	172	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator
7	10000298	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator
8	10000343	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator
9	10000346	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator
10	10000886	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator
11	10000924	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator
12	10000933	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator
13	10001012	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator
14	10000972	Failure to Prove Causation
15	103	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
16	109	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
17	119	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
18	164	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
19	174	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
20	10000152	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
21	10000189	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
22	10000334	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
23	10000500	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
24	10000537	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
25	10000538	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
26	10000578	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
27	10000585	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
28	10000586	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture

	Claim No.	Ineligibility Reason
29	10000611	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
30	10000620	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
31	10000714	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
32	10000757	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
33	10000778	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
34	10000810	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
35	10000848	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
36	10000850	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
37	10000857	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
38	10000893	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
39	10000922	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
40	10000869	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture
41	10000931	Failure to Cure; Insufficient Proof of Rupture